

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of	
MICHAEL J. BEDWELL, individually, and as an institution-affiliated party of	ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION
MERCHANTS BANK JACKSON, ALABAMA))))))
(INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK)	
RESPONDENT'S NMLS UI# N/A))

MICHAEL J. BEDWELL (Respondent) has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (NOTICE) issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) detailing the violations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (ORDER) may issue and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the allegations under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and the FDIC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (CONSENT AGREEMENT) with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, dated December 18, 2017, whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any violations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the FDIC.

The FDIC has determined, and Respondent neither admits nor denies, that:

- (a) Respondent has engaged or participated in violations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty as an institution-affiliated party of Merchants Bank, Jackson, Alabama (Bank), within the meaning of 12 U.S.C. § 1813(u);
- (b) Further, the FDIC has determined that Respondent was a Loan Officer of the Bank and Respondent fraudulently obtained 15 loans in the names of 11 different customers of the Bank, knowing that he had no authority to obtain the loans in the names of unknowing customers, and then used the proceeds of the loans for his own purposes.
- (c) By reason of such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty, the Bank has suffered a financial loss or other damage, the interests of the Bank's depositors have been prejudiced and Respondent received financial gain or other benefit; and
- (d) Such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty involve personal dishonesty on the part of Respondent and demonstrate Respondent's willful and continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrate Respondent's unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, any other insured depository institution, or any other agency or organization enumerated in section 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

The FDIC, therefore, accepts the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issues the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

- 1. Michael J. Bedwell is hereby prohibited from:
 - (a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial

institution or agency enumerated in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or

(d) voting for a director or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. The prohibitions in paragraph 1 above, shall cease to apply to Respondent if Respondent obtains the prior written permission of both the FDIC and the "appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency" as defined in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D).

3. Nothing herein shall preclude any proceedings brought by the FDIC to enforce the terms of this ORDER, and that nothing herein constitutes a waiver of any right, power, or authority of any other representatives of the United States or agencies thereof, or the FDIC as Receiver, or any state agency or department to bring other actions deemed appropriate against Respondent.

4. This ORDER will become effective upon its issuance by the FDIC. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated this 13^{++} day of Agust, 2018

Patricia A. Colohan

Associate Director

Division of Risk Management Supervision